

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/603,792	06/24/2003	Thomas A. Maufer	NVDA P000804	3473
26291 7590 04/12/2007 PATTERSON & SHERIDAN L.L.P. 595 SHREWSBURY AVE, STE 100			EXAMINER	
			BRUCKART, BENJAMIN R	
FIRST FLOOR SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SINCE WIDOK 1, NO 07702		2155		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 0	DAYS	04/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/603,792	MAUFER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin R. Bruckart	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply		ith the correspondence address				
• •	D DEDLY IC OFT TO EVOIDE 4 M	HONTHYON OR THIRTY (20) DAVO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communing. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuter of the specified above, the specifie	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. Itory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON Ill, by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 24 June 2003.					
•—•						
<u>'</u>						
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objecti						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 H.S.C. (S 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, loroigh phony andor oo e.e.e.					
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of	f the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·				
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	~ ~ · · · /	Informal Patent Application				

Detailed Action

Claims 1-20 are pending in this Office Action.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-2, are drawn to a method for testing and reinserting a packet, classified in class 714, subclass 712.

II. Claims 3-20 are drawn to a method, apparatus, signal bearing medium, and system that buffering and forwarding packets according to a connection table, classified in class 370, subclass 412.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention Groups I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are show to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention in Group I has separate utility such method for testing and reinserting a packet. See MPEP § 806.05(c). Invention in Group II has separate utility and is a method for buffering and forwarding packets according to a connection table.

Inventions in Group I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are (1) testing and reinserting a packet and (2) buffering and forwarding packets according to a connection table.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,792

Art Unit: 2155

Because these inventions are distinct for the reason given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is reminded that to traverse this requirement on the grounds that the groups are not patentably distinct, applicant should present evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the groups to be obvious variations of one another. If the groups are determined not to be patentably distinct and they remain in this application, any rejection of one group over prior art will apply equally to all other embodiments. See Ex parte Appeal No. 315-40, 152 USPQ 71 (Bd. App. 1965). No argument asserting patentability based on the differences between the groups will be considered once the groups have been determined to comprise a single inventive concept.

Art Unit: 2155

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire ONE MONTH from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin R Bruckart whose telephone number 571-272-3982.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and after final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the examiner whose telephone number is 571-272-3982.

Benjamin R Bruckart Examiner Art Unit 2155

300

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER